

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/481,577 | 01/12/2000 | Glenn R. Toothman, Ill | 5806 | |
| 75 | 90 10/13/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| DANIEL H. GOLUB, ESQ | | | LEE, DIANE I | |
| REED SMITH SHAW & McCLAY LLP 2500 ONE LIBERTY PLACE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 | | | 2876 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/481,577 | TOOTHMAN, LLL ET AL. | | | |
| navicery nearen | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | D. I. Lee | 2876 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel | ation. A proper reply n places the applica | y to a tion in | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | , | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims | S. · | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed | amendment | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NO | Γ place the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | nd an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | • | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16,24,28-33 and 55</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 41-54. | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | | | | |
| | IN INC. | D. I. Lee Primary Examiner | hy | | |
| | | Art Unit: 2876 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE:

- (a) Newly added limitations in claims 1, 9, 24, such as "wherein the memory device is free from physical connection to a source of the data", "contact memory device utilizes programmable random access memory to store the memorial information", "reading device is capable of programming the memory device by writing the memorial information to the programmable random access memory" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.
- (b) Amendment filed 9/24/04 contains greater number of newly added claims (claims 56-65) than the cancelled claims that were finally rejected (i.e., claims 2-3, 10-11, 29-30 were previously rejected and claims 41-54 were previously withdrawn).

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-16, 24, 28-33, 55 are remain rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection (mail date: 5/24/04)...